

CONCHO VALLEY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE GUIDEBOOK 2019-2020 TxCDBG PROGRAM

May 24, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

l.	Introduction	2
II.	CVCOG RRC Approved Actions	3
	Summary of CVCOG RRC Objective Scoring Criteria	
IV.	CVCOG RRC Objective Scoring Criteria	5

PART I - INTRODUCTION

CONCHO VALLEY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE GUIDEBOOK

2019-2020 TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

The Concho Valley Regional Review Committee (RRC) Guidebook has been prepared in accordance with the TxCDBG Action Plan and the 2019-2020 Regional Review Committee Scoring and Training Guidelines for the Community Development Fund. The Guidebook provides eligible applicants from the Concho Valley Council of Governments (CVCOG) region with the application guidelines necessary to be scored under the Concho Valley RRC scoring criteria.

Any questions regarding the RRC or the Guidebook should be directed in writing after the Concho Valley RRC Guidebook has been published in the website of the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA) to:

Suzanne Barnard, Director
Office of Rural Affairs
Texas Department of Agriculture
P.O. Box 12847
Austin, Texas 78711

E-mail address: <u>Suzanne.Barnard@TexasAgriculture.gov</u>

TDA website: www.texasagriculture.gov

PART II CVCOG RRC APPROVED ACTIONS

- 1. The CVCOG RRC held its RRC meeting and Public Hearing on May 24, 2018, to hear public comments on the proposed objective scoring criteria, and to approve the RRC Guidebook, project priorities and the objective scoring criteria.
- The RRC selected the Concho Valley Council of Governments as support staff to develop and disseminate the RRC Guidebook. The RRC selected the Concho Valley Council of Governments as support staff to calculate the RRC scores and provide other administrative RRC support.
- 3. The RRC established the maximum grant amounts for the region:

Single jurisdiction: \$275,000.00Multi-jurisdictions: \$350,000.00

- 4. The RRC did not establish set-asides for housing and non-border colonia projects.
- 5. The RRC approved the scoring criteria with unanimous consent.

PART III CVCOG RRC SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA

IOIAL	. POINTS	S ALLOWED BY C	CONCHO VALLEY RRC:	<u> 180</u>		
ΤΩΤΑΙ	DOINT	S ALLOWED BY T		20		
IOTAL	. POINTS	SALLOWED BY I	DA.	20		
TOTAL	OVERA	ALL POINTS ALL	OWED:	200		
		OF CONCHO VALI WED: (180 MAXIN	LEY REGIONAL REVIEW MUM ALLOWED)	V COMMITT	EE	i !
l.	Projec	t Type/Priority	Category Maxim	um Points	= <u>1(</u>	<u>80</u>
	•	t Priority Question 1 Question 2 Other Eligible	Maximum Points Allower Maximum Points Allower Maximum Points Allower Maximum Points Allower	ed = 90 ed = 18		
II.	II. Project Impact		Category Maxim	um Points	=	<u>72</u>
	a. b. c. d.	,	Maximum Points Maximum Points Maximum Points Maximum Points	Allowed :	=	42
	e.	All Other	Maximum Points	Allowed	=	0

PART IV CVCOG - RRC OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA

PROJECT TYPE/PRIORITY	Category N	laximum Points =	<u>108</u>			
a. First Priority: Water and Sewe Question 1: Type of Proje	108 Points Ma	ximum				
other water/sewer proje	Water Supply, Water Storage, Water/Sewer Treatment Plant Improvements other water/sewer projects including water distribution, yard lines and sewer collection lines and first time service (excluding septic systems) 90 points					
To receive points under Que under Question 1. To receive Agreed Order must address application.	e maximum poin	ts under Question 2, T	CEQ/EPA			
Question 2: Priority						
TCEQ or EPA Agreed C	Order	18	points			
No Agreed Order		9 p	oints			
b. All Other Eligible Projects: 16	Points Maximu		points			
Methodology: Points will be awarde Priority Projects to score up to or 108 First Priority activity can only score a Priority activities with all Other Eligible based upon percent of TxCDBG cons based on the percentage of the pro-ra	points. All Other maximum of 16 per Project categor struction dollars re	Eligible Projects not invocints. Projects which coies will be scored on a pequested. Points will be	olving any ombine First oro-rata awarded			
Data Source: TxCDBG Application T Information Needed from Applicant List Projects Submitted by Type as Stapplicable) 1 2	t to Score: tated in Table 1 a	and Table 2 (list as many	/ as			
TCEQ/EPA Agreed Order: Copy of A Date of Agreed	•	_ erk Date Stamp:				

Docket Number: _____

Score =

Project Impact

Category Maximum Points = <u>72</u>

The Applicant has not received any funding during the previous	four funding c	ycles.	
		72 points	
The Applicant has been funded once (1x) during the previous for	ur funding cyc	les.	
		57 points	
The Applicant has been funded twice (2x) during the previous fo			
		42 points	
The Applicant has been funded three times (3x) during the previ	ous four fundi	ng cycles. 27 points	
The Applicant has been funded four times (4x) during the previo	us four fundin	g cycles. 0 points _	
Methodology: The TDA tracking system report will be reviewed assigned. The total number of times an applicant has been function four funding cycles will be counted to determine applicant's eligible section.	led during the	previous	S
Information needed from Applicant to score:			
Applicant received funding in the 2017/2018 Biennial CD	Cycle:	Yes	No
Applicant received funding in the 2015/2016 Biennial CD	,	Yes	No
Applicant received funding in the 2013/2014 Biennial CD	,	Yes	No
Applicant received funding in the 2011/2012 Biennial CD	Cycle:	Yes	No
Data Source: TDRA Tracking System Report			
	Score =		